

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America

v.

Vincent Neigy Byrd

Date of Previous Judgment: June 24, 2003

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)

)

)

)

)

)

)

Case No: 3:01CR148-01

USM No: 17406-058

Lyle J. Yurko

Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months **is reduced to** _____.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: 39

Amended Offense Level: 37

Criminal History Category: III

Criminal History Category: III

Previous Guideline Range: Life to _____ months

Amended Guideline Range: Life to _____ months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- ☒ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☐ Other (explain):

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated June 24, 2003 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: April 30, 2008

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)



Graham C. Mullen
United States District Judge

